



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/563,491

01/05/2006

Francois Vacherand

126394

8282

25944 7590 05/14/2009

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC

P.O. BOX 320850

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850

EXAMINER

YU, HENRY W

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2182

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/14/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/563,491	Applicant(s) VACHERAND ET AL.	
	Examiner HENRY YU	Art Unit 2182	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) HENRY YU. (3) OBERT CHU (Reg. No. 52,744).

(2) TAMMARA PEYTON. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 May 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 18.

Identification of prior art discussed: Turner et al. (Patent No. US 3,737,858), Olarig (Patent No. US 6,175,889 B1), Goldrian et al. (Patent No. US 6,909,710 B1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Focus was on the uniqueness of the addresses as they pertain to each device in the instant application, and discussion was on the interpretation of uniqueness as they pertain to the instant application and that of Turner et al. (e.g. whether the addresses for each transducer of Turner et al. are similar or unique). Examiner states a need for further search and examination.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Henry Yu/ Examiner, Art Unit 2182	/Tammara Peyton/ Primary Examiner, 2182
---------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------